Cardiovascular risk prediction in subjects at high-risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: Do different risk predictors give the same result?



L Lomova-Williams¹, A Mallipedhi^{1,2}, R Tristham³, G Lake³, J W Stephens^{1,2} ¹Diabetes Research Group, Swansea University, Swansea, UK ²Department of Diabetes & Endocrinology, Morriston Hospital, Swansea, UK ³Clydach Primary Care Centre, Clydach, Swansea, SA6 5LN



Introduction

- Identifying cardiovascular (CV) risk is essential in the management of patients at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes.
- Methods routinely used in primary care to estimate 10-year CV risks include:- Framingham,

Aims

• To examine for differences these methods in a sample primary care practice know type 2 diabetes.

Methods

- •An electronic search was practice database for subjec 2 diabetes.
- Demographic and routine and 10-year CV risk calculated using the 4 above methods.
- 148 subjects were identified.
- Age 61 \pm 9.7 years
- BMI 29 \pm 6.1 Kg/m²
- HbA1C 40 \pm 3.6 mmol/mol
- QDiabetes score 11 ±8.9
- •Of these, further analysi: without manifest CVD.

Results

- The baseline characteristics Table 1.
- •The median and interguartile ranges for 10-year CV risk were as follows:
- -Framingham 11 [7-18]
- -QRISK 9 [4-16]
- -JBS3 9 [4-14]
- -ASSING 10 [6-16].
- •Subjects were further grouped into:
- -Low (≤10%)
- -Intermediate (10-20%)
- -High (≥20%) CV risk groups.
- Significant differences were observed with respect to the proportion of subjects in each risk group, Table 2.
- The percentage of subjects within each group who scored high-risk (≥20%) were as follows:
- -Framingham 16.7%
- -QRISK 17.2%
- -JBS3 11%
- -ASSING 9.6% (p<0.0001).

Results continued

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of 125 subjects without manifest CVD

Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum	Percentiles		
				25th	Median	75th
60	9.6	40	79	52	60	68
78.9	17.8	47	148	65.8	77.0	90.2
29.1	6.4	17.5	47.8	25.2	28.1	32.4
130	14.7	100	184	120	130	140
79	8.8	60	100	72	80	84
39.6	3.6	28	47	37	40	42
5.3	1.0	2.8	7.9	4.5	5.3	6
3.1	0.9	1.0	6.0	2.4	3.1	3.7
1.5	0.9	0.5	5.2	0.9	1.2	2.0
5.4	0.9	3.5	10.4	4.9	5.2	5.8
1.5	0.4	0.7	2.8	1.3	1.5	1.8
12.7	7.6	1	41	7	11	18
11.4	9.4	0.5	52	4	9	16
9.6	8.3	0.7	41	4	7	14
10.7	8.6	0.7	47	4	9	14
11.3	6.7	2	33	6	10	16
	Mean 60 78.9 29.1 130 79 39.6 5.3 3.1 1.5 5.4 1.5 12.7 11.4 9.6 10.7 11.3	Mean SD 60 9.6 78.9 17.8 29.1 6.4 130 14.7 79 8.8 39.6 3.6 5.3 1.0 3.1 0.9 1.5 0.9 5.4 0.9 1.5 0.4 12.7 7.6 11.4 9.4 9.6 8.3 10.7 8.6 11.3 6.7	Mean SD Minimum 60 9.6 40 78.9 17.8 47 29.1 6.4 17.5 130 14.7 100 79 8.8 60 39.6 3.6 28 5.3 1.0 2.8 3.1 0.9 1.0 1.5 0.9 0.5 5.4 0.9 3.5 1.5 0.4 0.7 12.7 7.6 1 11.4 9.4 0.5 9.6 8.3 0.7 10.7 8.6 0.7 11.3 6.7 2	Mean SD Minimum Maximum 60 9.6 40 79 78.9 17.8 47 148 29.1 6.4 17.5 47.8 130 14.7 100 184 79 8.8 60 100 39.6 3.6 2.8 47 5.3 1.0 2.8 7.9 3.1 0.9 1.0 6.0 1.5 0.9 0.5 5.2 5.4 0.9 3.5 10.4 1.5 0.4 0.7 2.8 12.7 7.6 1 41 11.4 9.4 0.5 52 9.6 8.3 0.7 41 10.7 8.6 0.7 47 11.3 6.7 2 33	Mean SD Minimum Maximum 25th 60 9.6 40 79 52 78.9 17.8 47 148 65.8 29.1 6.4 17.5 47.8 25.2 130 14.7 100 184 120 79 8.8 60 100 72 39.6 3.6 28 47 37 5.3 1.0 2.8 7.9 4.5 3.1 0.9 1.0 6.0 2.4 1.5 0.9 0.5 5.2 0.9 5.4 0.9 3.5 10.4 4.9 1.5 0.4 0.7 2.8 1.3 12.7 7.6 1 41 7 11.4 9.4 0.5 52 4 9.6 8.3 0.7 41 4 9.6 8.3 0.7 41 4 9.6 8.6	Mean SD Minimum Maximum Percentiles 60 9.6 40 79 52 60 78.9 17.8 47 148 65.8 77.0 29.1 6.4 17.5 47.8 25.2 28.1 130 14.7 100 184 120 130 79 8.8 60 100 72 80 39.6 3.6 2.8 47 37 40 5.3 1.0 2.8 7.9 4.5 5.3 3.1 0.9 1.0 6.0 2.4 3.1 1.5 0.9 0.5 5.2 0.9 1.2 5.4 0.9 3.5 10.4 4.9 5.2 1.5 0.4 0.7 2.8 1.3 1.5 1.2.7 7.6 1 41 7 11 11.4 9.4 0.5 52 4 9 9.6

Methods	Low CV risk group (≤10%)	Intermediate CV risk group (10-20%)	High CV risk group (≥20%)
Framingham	47.7% (54/114)	36.0% (41/114)	16.7% (19/114)
QRisk	52.5% (64/122)	30.3% (37/122)	17.2% (21/122)
JBS3	58.6% (58/99)	30.3% (30/99)	11.0% (11/99)
ASSING	53.0% (61/115)	37.4% (43/115)	9.6% (11/115)

ared analysis performed.

- P<0.0001 for Framingham compared to QRisk, JBS3 and ASSING when individually analysed

- -Long-term CV outcome.

References

1. Prediabetes preventing the type 2 diabetes epidemic – A diabetes UK report 2009 2. Preventing type 2 diabetes: risk identification and interventions for individuals at high risk NICE guidelines [PH38] Published date: July 2012